

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/830,118	WILLIAMS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devin Hanan	3745	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Devin Hanan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Stephen Catlin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2006
Time: 10 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
102 rejection
Claims discussed:
claims 1, 3 and 25
Prior art documents discussed:
Thorp (U.S. Patent 2,642,263)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Adding allowable subject matter into independent claims. See examiner's amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)